


## ERL JOURNAL

### Authors Guidelines



## AUTHORSHIP

Authors are generally defined as individuals who have made a significant intellectual contribution to the article submitted for publication.

The following criteria must be met for scholars to be credited as authors:

- substantially contributing to the research design, methodology, data acquisition, analysis and interpretation;
- drafting and/or revising the article;
- approving the final article version.

The following types of authorship are considered unacceptable:

- [ghost authorship](#) (writing an article on someone else's behalf without being included in the authors' list);
- [honorary authorship/guest/gift authorship](#) (authorship attributed to a well-known scholar who has not contributed to an article but is listed to increase the chance for publication);
- [coercive authorship](#) (a person in a position of authority pressuring others to include them as an author despite not meeting the criteria).

ERL Journal exercises a strict policy against unethical practices and abides by COPE [flowchart](#).

## DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF AUTHORS

Authors are required to prepare their manuscripts pursuant to ERL Journal [Guidelines](#). It is authors' responsibility to ensure that the manuscript meets both the technical and grammatical standards. A well-prepared manuscript will help speed up the review process allowing reviewers to focus on the intellectual content evaluation rather than the annotation of technical inefficiencies. ERL Journal reserves the right to reject the article below the technical and/or grammatical standard.

The corresponding author should ensure that all contributing scholars are included on the article; have approved the final version and agreed to article's submission for publication. Authors are responsible for giving due recognition to published work related to their article by properly citing and referencing it. If a significant amount of other scholar's work is used, permission must be sought. Authors should not use information obtained by a confidential service (review) without permission of the source.

Authors should present an accurate account of the conducted research as well as sufficient data and references should scholars decide to replicate the work. When the author discovers a fundamental error or inaccuracy, it is his/her obligation to promptly notify the editor. Fraudulent actions or scientific misconduct constitute unethical behavior thus resulting in [article retraction](#).

### **MULTIPLE, REDUNDANT OR CONCURRENT PUBLICATION**

Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal constitutes unethical behavior and will be subject to [article retraction](#). If the submitted article was presented at a conference/symposium/lecture or represents a modified/translated/adapted version of the elsewhere published article, [authors must notify the editors at submission and include a disclosure statement on the title page](#). Such cases will be considered by the [editorial team](#).

### **PLAGIARISM**

Authors' responsibility is to ensure that they have written entirely original works. The usage of other scholars' work must be properly cited and referenced. Plagiarism ranges from unattributed copying of other scholar's verbatim, hypotheses, data, findings and conclusions to self-plagiarism, unacknowledged republication of images and resubmission of someone else's work (either in the original language or as a translation). For more information on plagiarism classification, check [COPE Discussion Document](#).

All submissions will be screened for plagiarism and no form of it will be tolerated. In cases of suspected plagiarism, ERL Journal will act pursuant to [COPE flowchart guidance](#).

### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

Authors must disclose any financial or other conflict of interest that could inappropriately bias their work. Examples and [cases](#) of potential conflicts of interest to be disclosed include employment, grants and other funding, consultancies, patent applications/registrations, etc. In cases a [reviewer](#) or [reader](#) suspects undisclosed conflict of interest, ERL Journal will follow COPE flowchart procedures.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Authors should acknowledge all people (intellectual or technical assistance, equipment, measurement, materials, etc.) who helped with the research but do not qualify for authorship. If applicable, a funding acknowledgment statement should be included in this section.

## **ERL JOURNAL AUTHOR CHECKLIST**

**Title:**

If the answer to *one or more* statements is *No* (using the sign 'X'), consider revising the manuscript.

<b>Statement</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
The manuscript is prepared according to ERL Journal Guidelines.		
The manuscript meets both the technical and grammatical ERL Journal standards.		
All scholars who have made a significant intellectual contribution to the article are credited as authors.		
Non-contributing scholars are not credited as authors.		
Permission to use tables, figures or long quotations is obtained from both the copyright holder and the original author.		
Permission to use information obtained by a confidential service (review) is obtained.		
The manuscript is submitted only to ERL Journal.		
The manuscript is the entirely original work done by the authors.		
All sources are properly cited and referenced.		
The authors do not have any conflict of interest.		
Everyone who helped with the research is properly acknowledged.		